TOOLS FOR PRACTICE #324 | October 3, 2022



Is booking an urgent UTI appointment the best sign of a UTI?

CLINICAL QUESTION

What helps in diagnosing symptomatic uncomplicated urinary tract infections (UTI) in adult women?

BOTTOM LINE

Individual symptoms and leukocytes on urinalysis generally add little to diagnosis. Presence of nitrites increases the probability of UTI, but their absence means little. About 60% of women presenting to primary care with possible UTI have a UTI (before any history, physical or testing). A single urine culture likely misses cases, meaning prevalence is even higher.

EVIDENCE

- Prevalence of UTI: In primary care, 49%-79% women presenting with possible UTI have a UTI depending on criteria for positive culture.¹ Others found average prevalence of 55%, 59%, 40-60%.²⁻⁴
- UTI symptoms: 4 systematic reviews^{1,3-5} (4-16 studies, 948-3711 women) in family practice or emergency departments. The largest¹ in primary care pooled data with 16 studies and 3711 patients:
 - o Frequency: Positive likelihood ratio (LR+)=1.09 and Negative Likelihood Ratio (LR-)=0.58
 - o Dysuria and urgency similar: LR+= 1.17-1.22, LR-= 0.61-0.7.
 - Others found similar³⁻⁵ with highest LR+=2.3 for any symptom.⁴

- o Therefore, clinician elicited symptoms are not very helpful.
- Urine dip (urinalysis): 6 systematic reviews^{2-4,6-8} (4-43 studies, 948-12,554 women). The largest pooling primary care data³ (11 studies, 2813 patients):
 - o Leukocytes (≥1+):³ LR+=1.4 and LR-=0.44.
 - Others^{2,4,6,7} found LR- similar but LR+=1.0-4.9.
 - Overall, leukocytes not very helpful.
 - o Nitrite (≥1+):3 LR+=6.5 and LR-=0.58.
 - Others^{2,4,6,7} found LR- similar and LR+=1.5-29 (highly inconsistent).
 - Overall, nitrites are helpful 'ruling-in' when positive; not helpful "ruling-out" if negative.
 - o Blood (≥1+):4 LR+=2.1 and LR-=0.3.
- Many limitations, examples include no pooling,^{4,6} differing (10²-10⁸) colony forming units as culture gold standard,^{2,6,7} older than 30 years,⁸ and differing populations/asymptomatic patients.⁷

CONTEXT

- Urine culture is an imperfect 'gold' standard (likely misses cases). Examples:
 - o Of 220 symptomatic women, 80% had a positive culture but 96% were *E. coli* positive on Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR).⁹
 - o Of 42 untreated symptomatic women with initially negative cultures, 31% had a positive culture within 6 weeks.¹⁰
- Likelihood ratios provide more information than sensitivity/specificity.
 - LR+ for making diagnosis: ≥10 very helpful, 5-9.9 good, 2-4.9 moderate help and <2 provides little help.
 - o LR- for ruling-out diagnosis: ≤0.1 very helpful, 0.11-0.2 good, 0.21-0.5 moderate help and >0.5 provides little help.

REFERENCES AUTHORS

- 1. Giesen L, Cousins G, Dimitrov B *et al*. BMC Family Practice 2010, 11:78
- 2. Deville W, Yzermans J, van Duijn N *et al.* BMC Urology 2004,
- 3. Medina-Bombardó and Jover-Palmer. BMC Family Practice 2011, 12:111.
- 4. Meister L, Morley E, Scheer D *et al.* Acad Emerg Med. 2013; 20:632–45.
- 5. Bent S, Nalmothu B, Simel D *et al.* JAMA 2002 May 22/29; 287:20,2701-10.
- 6. Schiemann G, Kniehl E, Gebhardt K *et al*. Deutsches Ärzteblatt International 2010; 107(21):361–7.
- 7. St. John A, Boyd J, Lowes A, *et al*. Am J Clin Pathol. 2005; 125;428-36
- 8. Hurlbut T, Littenberg B. Am J Clin Pathol. 1991; 96:5,582-88.

Jennifer Young, MD CCFP-EM, Betsy Thomas, BSc. Pharm, G Michael Allan, MD CCFP

Authors do not have any conflicts of interest to declare.

- 9. Heytens S, De Sutter A, Coorevits L *et al.* Clin Microbiol Infect 2017; 23:647-52.
- 10. Ferry S, Holm S, Stenlund H *et al.* Scand J Infect Dis. 2004; 36:296-301

TOOLS FOR PRACTICE PROVIDED BY



IN PARTNERSHIP WITH









Tools for Practice are peer reviewed and summarize practice-changing medical evidence for primary care. Coordinated by Dr. G. Michael Allan and Dr Adrienne Lindblad, they are developed by the Patients, Experience, Evidence, Research (PEER) team, and supported by the College of Family Physicians of Canada, and the Alberta, Ontario, and Saskatchewan Colleges of Family Physicians. Feedback is welcome and can be sent to toolsforpractice@cfpc.ca. Archived articles can be found at www.toolsforpractice.ca

This communication reflects the opinion of the authors and does not necessarily mirror the perspective and policy of the College of Family Physicians of Canada.