

## A dose a day keeps the UTI away?

### **CLINICAL QUESTION**

What is the efficacy of antibiotic prophylaxis for recurrent urinary tract infections (UTI) in non-pregnant women?

#### **BOTTOM LINE**

Antibiotic prophylaxis decreases the risk of recurrent UTIs from 66% with placebo to 12% with prophylaxis over 6-12 months. More women will experience an adverse event with antibiotics (15% versus 8% with placebo). Long-term bacterial resistance and its individual clinical impact has not been well studied.

### **EVIDENCE**

- Antibiotic prophylaxis for 6-12 months compared to placebo:
  - Meta-analysis, 10 Randomized Controlled Trials (RCTs), 430 women, 5 different antibiotics, varying regimens.<sup>1</sup>
    - ≥1 microbiological recurrence, 8 RCTs, 372 women: 12% versus 66% placebo; number needed to treat (NNT)=2.
    - ≥1 clinical UTI (example dysuria), 8 RCTs, 257 women: 7% versus 51% placebo; NNT=3.
    - Adverse events (most commonly skin rash, nausea): 15% versus 8% placebo, number needed to harm=14.
    - No difference in serious adverse events.
    - Limitations: Small studies, many >25 years old.

- o RCT not in above meta-analysis, 302 women, fosfomycin 3g every 10 days versus placebo for 6 months.2
  - ≥1 microbiological recurrence: 7% versus 75% placebo; NNT=2.
- Antibiotic prophylaxis for 6-12 months compared to non-antibiotic prophylaxis:
  - Meta-analysis, 3 RCTs, 482 women, antibiotics (nitrofurantoin 50mg or 100mg, TMP-SMX) 400-80mg daily) versus non-antibiotics (oral lactobacillus, vaginal estrogen, or D-mannose powder):3
    - ≥1 microbiological recurrence: 43% antibiotics versus 54% non-antibiotics; NNT=9.
    - No difference in adverse events.
    - Limitations: Large variation between comparators.
  - A small RCT not included in above meta-analysis showed no benefit.<sup>4</sup>

#### **CONTEXT**

- Recurrent UTIs defined as: ≥3 episodes in 12 months, or 2 episodes in 6 months.<sup>5,6</sup>
- No significant difference between nitrofurantoin and other antibiotics for UTI reduction; however, nitrofurantoin increased adverse events (example gastrointestinal) (~1.8x).
- Rare cases of pulmonary toxicity with nitrofurantoin [1/5000 (acute) and 1/750-7500 (chronic)].8
- One RCT, TMP-SMX versus lactobacillus: TMP-SMX resistance increased to 80-95% during treatment but returned to baseline (20-40%) after treatment.9
  - o No difference in UTI recurrence 3 months after prophylaxis was stopped.
- One cohort reported bacterial resistance to be 16% in controls and 21% in those receiving prophylactic antibiotics at 30 days to 1-year, clinical impact unclear. 10

#### **REFERENCES AUTHORS**

- 1. Albert X, Huertas I, Pereiro I, et al. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2004: 3:CD001209.
- 2. Rudenko N, Dorofeyev A. Drug Res. 2005; 55:420-7.
- 3. Ahmed H, Davies F, Francis N, et al. BMJ Open. 2017; 7:e015233.
- 4. McMurdo MET, Argo I, Phillips G, et al. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2009; 63:389-95.
- 5. Bugs and Drugs. Treatment recommendations: recurrent cystitis. Alberta Health Services. Available at: https://www.bugsanddrugs.org/6B8724C9-6CDC-480F-8263-FCF94DA89FD9. Accessed March 14, 2022.
- 6. DynaMed. Recurrent Cystitis in Women. EBSCO Information Services. Available at:
  - https://www.dynamed.com/condition/recurrent-cystitis-inwomen. Accessed March 14, 2022.
- 7. Price JR, Guran LA, Gregory WT, et al. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2016; 548-60.
- 8. LeBras M, Gauthier A. RxFiles Q&A Summary. March 2017. Available from:

Caitlin R Finley, MSc MD, Jamie Falk, BSc(Pharm) PharmD, Tina Korownyk, MD CCFP

Authors do not have any conflicts of interest to declare.

- https://www.rxfiles.ca/RxFiles/uploads/documents/Nitrofurantoin-Pulmonary-Toxicity.pdf Accessed August 29, 2022.
- 9. Beerepoot MA, ter Riet G, Nys S, *et al.* Arch Intern Med. 2012; 172:704-12.
- 10. Langford BJ, Brown KA, Diong C, *et al.* Clin Infect Dis. 2021; 73(3):e782-e791.

# TOOLS FOR PRACTICE PROVIDED BY



#### **IN PARTNERSHIP WITH**









Tools for Practice are peer reviewed and summarize practice-changing medical evidence for primary care. Coordinated by Dr. G. Michael Allan and Dr Adrienne Lindblad, they are developed by the Patients, Experience, Evidence, Research (PEER) team, and supported by the College of Family Physicians of Canada, and the Alberta, Ontario, and Saskatchewan Colleges of Family Physicians. Feedback is welcome and can be sent to <a href="mailto:toolsforpractice@cfpc.ca">toolsforpractice@cfpc.ca</a>. Archived articles can be found at <a href="mailto:www.toolsforpractice.ca">www.toolsforpractice.ca</a>

This communication reflects the opinion of the authors and does not necessarily mirror the perspective and policy of the College of Family Physicians of Canada.